													ガテレ				7	m	8	te in	%137 3	jŧ	ecomm.comm.
													\downarrow	•			Į	XI	11	PIF L	(1)	2046	2-17-2009
33555	2126	12	᠘	22	22	عالا	5 2	15	16	15	14	ᆸ	12	Ħ	5	و			-4	์ เข้าล	4321		56 403
	United Materials Wisconsin Creek Ltd. Ptn.***	Strawberry Creek	South Dry Creek	Sheep Valley	Ross Creek	Pony Generating Sta	_	_				_	_	Cascade Creek		Boulder Hydro**	Billings Generation	Barney Creek	Agnew Ranch	Qualifying Facility	NorthWestern Energy Data Response to PSC-009a Docket D2008.12,146	>	
Note:	5/31/2006 11/16/1984	NA	NA	9/1/2003	N :	Z Z	1/1/1998	12/1/2005	4/1/1998	11/1/2005	5/1/2004	NA A	NA A	Š	NA A	9/13/1985	R	NA :	10/1/2001	First Contract Year		В	
NA is not applicable, Mission Creek, MT M "CELP subject to co. "Boulder had an ex ""Contracts under	30 Year	NA	NA A	4 Year	N :	Z	10 Year	2 Year	10 Year	2 Year	3 Year	NA A	NA A	R	¥	18 Year	AN AN	N A	9 Month	First Contract Duration		0	
NA is not applicable. Mission Creek, MT Marginal, United Materials are under negotiation without a contract. *CELP subject to court order it does not reflect past three years of escalation. **Boulder had an extended outage during 2008. ***Contracts under negotiation are Mission Creek, MT Marginal, and United Materials.	5/1/2008 7/1/2007	11/15/1984	10/31/1984	4/23/2008	7/24/1996	7/1/1984	NA	4/23/2008	N _A	4/23/2008	4/23/2008	11/26/1984	10/15/1984	10/1/1984	10/30/1987	7/1/2007	3/1/1991	11/18/2004	11/1/2001	Current Contract Year		o	
waterials are under not reflect past the Juring 2008. Mission Creek, MT	1 Year 7 Year	35 Year	35 Year	20 Year	36 Year	20 Year	AN A	20 Year	NA A	Z0 Year	20 Year	26 Year	35 Year	Current Contract Duration 7 Year 35 Year 35 Year 35 Year 35 Year									
negotiation w ree years of esi	QF-1 Fix	Negotiation	Negotiation	QF-1 Mkt	רבמריז		NA NA	QF-1 Mkt	NA	QP-1 Mkt	QF1 Mkt	QFLT-84	Negatiation	OFLT-BA	LTQF-86	연. 전.	Negotiation	QFLT-84	무	Basis For Payment		F	
ithout a contra calation. United Materia	400 400	190	1,200	455	450	S S	195	450	29	2,000	750	240	35,000	68	10,000	510	52,000	60 (65	Contract kW	:	G	
й	\$43.57 \$49.90	\$60.31	\$59.84	\$38,48	\$28.95	\$58.44 \$58.04	NA	\$39.83	N A	\$38.03	\$39.09	\$53.26	\$57.03	\$61.31	\$52.48	\$47.06	\$59.32	\$61,31	\$49.90	Average Paid \$/MWh (2008)		H	
	2 Z	NA A	N A	AN A	\$3,48	08 E8\$	N N	NA	NA	NA	NÞ	\$86.24	\$91.17	\$93.17	\$15,11	NA	\$5.54	\$93.17	NA A	Average Paid Capacity (2008)		1	
	Z Z			NA T	\$/kW	\$/KW/YT			NA			\$/kW/Yr			\$/kW/Mth	N A	_	₹	AN A	Capacity Rate Type		j	
	Near Great Falls, MT 3 miles NE of Sheridan, MT	15 miles S of Livingston, MT	4 miles NE of Red Lodge, MT	1 mile NW of Two Dot, MT	10 miles N of Bozeman, MT	y miles y or Livingston, Mi	2 miles E of Livingston, MT	5 miles W of Two Dat, MT	1 mile NW of Livingston, MT	3 miles N of Martinsdale, MT	3 miles N of Martinsdale, MT	Near Hangver, MT	6 miles N of Colstrip, MT	S of Livingston, MT	S of Toston, MT	1 mile E of Maxville, MT	Exxon refinery in Lockwood, MT	S of Livingston, MT	11 miles NE of Big Timber, MT	Location		×	
	21.7% 27.7%	77.6%	72.3%	26.2%	58.0%	%E.E4 %E.E4	17.0%	16.9%	30.5%	5.7%	21.9%	8.5%	95.7%	74.7%	52,7%	0.3%	89.1%	25.3%	12.6%	Annual Cap. Factor (2008)		١	:

NWE QF Contract Requests

Count	Facility	kW	Generation	Request	Status	Last Contact	Total MW
1	Valley (Wheeling)	10,000	Wind	17-Nov-06	Pending contract issues	02-Apr-08	10
2	Kimmet	10,000	Wind	17-Nov-06	Developer reviewing contract	02-Apr-08	20
3	F Creek	2,000	Hydro	13-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	22
4	A- Drop	1,250	Hydro	20-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	23
5	Greenfield	800	Hydro	20-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	24
6	Johnson	1,000	Hydro	20-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	25
7	Knights	1,100	Hydro	20-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	26
8	Woods	1,500	Hydro	20-Dec-06	Developer reviewing contract	10-Jan-08	28
9	Diamond T	10,000	Wind	02-Jan-07	Developer waiting Two Dot docket	25-Feb-08	38
10	Momentum	10,000	Wind		Developer working on project	21-Jan-08	48
11	Potosi	250	Hydro	08-Jan-07	Waiting on developer attorney	08-Jan-07	48
12	Lumber Mill	700	Bio Mass	18-Jan-07	Working on interconnection	28-Feb-08	49
13	Martinsdale 3	10,000	Wind	24-Jan-07	Waiting on reply status	09-Jan-08	59
14	Liberty (Wheeling)	1,000	Wind	05-Feb-07	Waiting on list cycle	05-Feb-07	60
15	Chester (Wheeling)	500	Wind	05-Feb-07	Waiting on list cycle	06-Feb-07	60
16	Prospect	10,000	Wind	07-Feb-07	Waiting on list cycle	01-Feb-08	70
17	Kentfield	10,000	Wind	20-Jun-07	Waiting on developer response	09-Jan-08	80
18	Exergy	9,000	Wind	28-Jun-07	Waiting on list cycle	10-Feb-08	89
19	Zeitner	3,000	Wind	03-Aug-07	Waiting on list cycle	22-Jan-08	92
34	Various 22 sites, 0.5 to 2 MW	23,000	Wind	29-Aug-07	Waiting on developer response	19-Feb-08	115
36	TurnBull 1 & 2 (Wheeling)	10,000	Hydro	20-Sep-07	Waiting on list cycle	11-Feb-08	125
38	Liberty 2 (Wheeling)	10,000	Wind	09-Jan-08	Waiting on list cycle	31-Jan-08	135
39	Cut Bank	10,000	Wind	17-Jan-08	Waiting on list cycle	17-Jan-08	145
41	Element 2 @ 10 MW	20,000	Wind	08-Feb-08	Waiting on list cycle	17-Apr-08	165
42	GeoThermal	1,000	Hydro	21-Mar-08	Waiting on list cycle	21-Mar-08	166
43	Tailrace	500	Hydro	03-Apr-08	Waiting on list cycle	07-Apr-08	167
44	Little Judith	3,000	Wind	80-1qA-60	Waiting on list cycle	03-Apr-08	170
45	Great Fails	10,000	Wind	18-Apr-08	Contract Info Request	23-Apr-08	180
		179,600					
		159,500	Wind	88.81%			
		19,400	Hydro	10.80%			
		700	Bio Mass	0.39%	_		
				100.00%			

c.) A narrative description of the measures used by NorthWestern to ensure that
proposed projects are viable and likely to be completed before projects are
placed in the list or queue;

Under PURPA and Montana's Mini-PURPA, NorthWestern has an obligation to Purchase QF power. QF developers have a right to enter into contracts with NorthWestern for the sale of power. Consequently, NorthWestern must utilize practices and procedures that do not constructively foreclose the opportunity of QFs to be awarded or obtain a PPA with the utility. Requiring potential QFs to demonstrate viability prior to entering the queue may invite unnecessary regulatory and legal risk. However, as explained below, QF Queue Procedures to be employed will help to insure project viability on an ongoing basis.